

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 RAS ADISA GAMBA OLUWA,

No. 2:04-cv-0233-MCE-GGH-P

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

ORDER

14 DIRECTOR OF CALIFORNIA
15 DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS, ET AL.,

16 Defendants.
_____/

17 On July 14, 2006, Plaintiff filed a Request for
18 Reconsideration of the July 6, 2006 magistrate judge's Order. On
19 July 6, 2006, the magistrate judge's ordered Defendants to file a
20 Motion for Summary Judgment; thereafter Plaintiff to file an
21 Opposition; and vacated the pretrial and jury trial dates.
22 Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-303(f), a magistrate judge's
23 orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to
24 law." Upon review of the entire file, the Court finds that it
25 does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly
26 erroneous or contrary to law.

///

1 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration,
2 the Order of the magistrate judge filed July 6, 2006, is
3 affirmed.

4 DATED: July 25, 2006

5
6 

7 _____
8 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26